

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-1596 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 01.06.2016

Satbir Sharma

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Anshuman Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K.Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rupinder Thakur, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No. 51 dated 29.12.2015, registered under Sections 354, 506 IPC, 3(1)(x), 3(1)(xi) SC & ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, Police Station Women Police Station Rohtak, District Rohtak and consequent proceedings taken therein on the basis of compromise.

Report has been received from the trial court after recording statements of the parties regarding compromise. Trial Court has reported that compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. Trial Court has also sent copy of statements of parties

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2, being the complainant, is the only aggrieved person in the FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements made by the parties and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. 2007(3) RCR(Crl.) 1052,** approved by Hon'ble the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Ors. 2012(10) SCC 303,** the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted therein are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

June 01, 2016
anju