

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.4117 of 1999 (O&M)

Date of decision: 6.4.2016

Harjinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present : Mr.J.S.Wasu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Harkesh Manuja, Addl., AG., Punjab.

Mr.Rajesh Mahajan, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 4.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Having regard to the elaborate order passed by the Supreme Court in **Nihal Singh and others v. State of Punjab and others;** (2013) 14 SCC 65: 2013 (11) SCR 1, it has become the obligation of the State to revisit the case of the petitioner in terms of the judgment and take a final decision on the claim in terms of the prayers made in this writ petition. The competent authority would also pay due regard to the decision of the Division Bench of this Court in Letters Patent Appeal No.1994 of 2013 in **Balwinder Kumar v. State of Punjab and others;** decided on 5.2.2014

where directions have been issued to the authorities concerned to consider the case of the appellant for regularization in terms of the ratio of the judgment in *Nihal Singh's* case [supra].

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of. Let consideration take place within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If the petitioner makes a request for personal hearing, it shall be granted.

The petition is disposed of with the above observations and directions.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

April 6, 2016
Paritosh Kumar