

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15904 of 2016.
Decided on:-09.05.2016.

Hem Singh and others through power of attorney Sandeep Kumar.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Petitioner Sandeep Kumar in person.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. by the petitioners through their power of attorney holder/recognized agent Sandeep Kumar seeking issuance of a direction to the respondents for registration of an FIR on the information of cognizable offence made to them (Annexure P-30).

Prayer has also been made for issuance of a direction to the respondents to ensure the free and fair investigation of the case as also to submit the police report to the concerned Judicial Magistrate of Pehowa, District Kurukshetra without any unnecessary delay.

Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sakiri Vasu Versus State of U.P. and***

others (2008) 2 SCC 409 has held as under:

“We have elaborated on the above matter because we often find that when someone has a grievance that his FIR has not been registered at the police station and/or a proper investigation is not being done by the police, he rushes to the High Court to file a writ petition or a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. We are of the opinion that the High Court should not encourage this practice and should ordinarily refuse to interfere in such matters, and relegate the petitioner to his alternating remedy, firstly under Section 154(3) and Section 36 Cr.P.C. before the concerned police officers, and if that is of no avail, by approaching the concerned Magistrate under Section 156(3).

If a person has a grievance that his FIR has not been registered by the police station his first remedy is to approach the Superintendent of Police under Section 154(3) Cr.P.C. or other police officer referred to in Section 36 Cr.P.C. If despite approaching the Superintendent of Police or the officer referred to in Section 36 his grievance still persists, then he can approach a Magistrate under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. instead of rushing to the High Court by way of a writ petition or a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Moreover he has a further remedy of filing a criminal complaint under Section 200 Cr.P.C. Why then should writ petitions or Section 482 petitions be entertained when there are so many alternative remedies?”

In view of the aforesaid observations made by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Sakiri Vasu's case (supra)**, no such direction can be issued by this

Court under Section 482 Cr.PC as the efficacious remedy is already available to the petitioner for the relief claimed in this petition.

Therefore, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

May 09, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE