

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

337

CRM-M-15891 of 2016
Date of Decision : 14.09.2016

SUNIL KUMAR

..... Petitioner

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Munish Raj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up today as 13.09.2016 has declared holiday.

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 131 dated 17.08.2014 filed under Sections 482 and 498-A IPC registered at Police Station City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, Punjab and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise.

The trial Court has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. The trial Court has also sent statements of parties.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that petitioner is the accused and respondent No.2 is the complainant/aggrieved persons in this case.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the said FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder**

Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal)

1052, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all the consequent proceedings arisen out of the same are quashed qua petitioner.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

September 14, 2016
naresh.K

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No