

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-15868 of 2016

Date of Decision: 27.05.2016

Parminder Kaur & another

1...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Nitin Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioners submits that the arguing counsel is not available.

However, learned State counsel has produced the statements of petitioner no.1 as well as respondent no.4, to the effect that there is no danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

In view of the stand so taken by the official respondents, the present petition no more survives.

Disposed of.

May 27, 2016

AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)

JUDGE