

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.15862 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 4th August, 2016

Rajkumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Anil K. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate for respondent No.4.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J

Learned State counsel Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana submits that they do not want to file reply to this petition however reply on behalf of respondent No.4 has been filed which is taken on record.

Heard Mr. Anil K. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner, Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana for respondents No.1 to 3 and Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate for respondent No.4.

In this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure the petitioner who is facing trial in case FIR No.171 dated 22.04.2015 under Sections 307/323/325/341/506 IPC pertaining to Police Station Narnaud apparently and so is the stand of respondent

No.4 who happens to be real brother of the petitioner that there is a property dispute between them which has led to initiation of these criminal proceedings whereby allegations and counter allegations are being levelled.

Keeping in view the averments made in the petition not much solace can be afforded to the case of the petitioner except issuance of directions to the official respondents to have due recourse to law and to abide by the orders of the courts concerned. The petition stands disposed off in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 4, 2016
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No