

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-1585 of 2016

Date of Decision: January 15, 2016

Ruldu Ram and others

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Paramjit Kaur Deol, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The petitioners, who are 12 in numbers, have filed the present petition, claiming that respondent No.6, namely, Man Singh is threatening them. It is stated that the petitioners are running small scale industries after getting necessary permission from the concerned departments. Respondent No.6 is running illegal business and is threatening the petitioners.

I am of the view that if respondent No.6 is threatening the petitioners, who are 12 in numbers, there is no threat to their life and liberty. In case, any crime is committed by respondent No.6, the petitioners can always approach the police and make appropriate complaint. In case, the police does not take any action, they can always file a criminal complaint before the concerned Magistrate. No action by this Court is called for.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 15, 2016
sarita