

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15830-2016

Date of decision: July 13, 2016.

Parlwinder Singh @ Pinto and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Damanjeet Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri Gazi Mohd. Umair, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard Learned Counsel for the rival parties. Learned Counsel for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are the persons who set the car on fire and the inmates of the car had to run out of the car to save their lives. The offence is of very serious nature. In that view of the matter, the petitioners cannot be extended the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

July 13, 2016.

kadyan