

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-15829 of 2016

Date of decision-16.05.2016

Sonu @ Sufi**...Petitioner****Vs.****State of Haryana****...Respondent(s)****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI****Present:-** Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S.Salwara, D.A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S.BEDI, J (Oral)

Petitioner has been in custody w.e.f. October 5, 2013 in a case registered at the instance of Ram Sharan alleging that he had hired one Satish Kumar, Driver alongwith Tata Indigo Car as Taxi. On August 17, 2013, he had sent the Car alongwith said Driver pursuant to a call received from Ramesh. The driver of the car was found dead in a canal regarding which FIR under Section 302 IPC was registered in Aurangabad.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been involved in the case on the basis of his confessional statement. It is claimed that it is a case based upon circumstantial evidence. 16 witnesses have been examined.

Counsel for the petitioner during the course of arguments informs that a petition filed by co-accused of the petitioner for bail has been disposed of with a direction for expeditious disposal of the trial.

Counsel for the petitioner at this stage seeks the same relief only.

This petition is disposed of in the same terms as CRM M-35357 of 2015, Peelu Vs. State of Haryana, decided on 31.03.2016.

May 16, 2016

vanita

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE