

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.15760 of 2016
Date of decision: 2nd August, 2016

Azad

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record photostat copy of the orders passed in earlier bail application.

Allegations against the petitioner Azad in this anticipatory bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C. are that as per the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioner that his name has cropped up only in the statement of one of the co-accused and that no role is attributed to him in the commission of offence.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Dharambir, Police Station city Bahadurgarh, district Jhajjar has contended that certainly there is no evidence against the petitioner except statement of his co accused.

Keeping in view this situation and that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner it would be a travesty of justice to send him behind the bars, therefore in the event of arrest, petitioner is ordered

to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer till submission of report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. (challan). He shall continue to join investigation. Petitioner shall furnish an undertaking that he shall abide by the conditions specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. Thereafter, petitioner will be permitted to furnish regular bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The petition stands disposed off.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 2, 2016
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No