

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.15738 of 2016
Date of Decision.17.06.2016**

Hardev SinghPetitioner

Vs.

State of PunjabRespondent

Present: Mr.Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.,

Mr. T.N. Sarup, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The petitioner stated to be incarcerated for more than six months. The counsel for the petitioner states that mother of the petitioner has also expired and the trial is not likely to conclude in near future.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the case, petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Amritsar.

The present crl. misc. petition is allowed with the above direction.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

June 17, 2016
Pankaj*