

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-15725 of 2016
Date of Decision: May 09, 2016**

Virendra Developers Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

vs.

The State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioner claims that the learned Magistrate should follow the procedure as laid down by the Delhi High Court in CRL.M.C. No.3669 of 2011, titled as Vijay Ghai and another vs Pal Singh Kartar Singh.

The Magistrate is required to follow the procedure under the Code of Criminal Procedure read with Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. If the petitioner has some grouse regarding the procedure being followed by the Magistrate, he should first file the application before the learned Magistrate and if adverse order is passed, he is always at liberty to challenge the said order.

Disposed of.

May 09, 2016
sarita

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**