

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-157 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION: 02.02.2016

Roshan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)
2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)

Present:- Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate and
Mr. H.S. Deol, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that neither the charges have been framed nor the statement of the complainant or victim has been recorded so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

The petitioner is at liberty to file fresh petition after recording the statement of the complainant and victim.

February 02, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE