

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(286)

CRM-M-15690-2016

Date of Decision:-15.09.2016

Kewal Singh and another

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. H.S. Jalal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Manju Nagrath, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.161 dated 24.11.2014, registered under Sections 452, 323 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Nehianwala, District Bathinda, the petitioners have been prosecuted. Annexure P-2 is the compromise having been arrived at between the parties. Learned counsel for the complainant states that pursuant to the last order dated 11 July, 2016, the verification statements have been recorded by the Illaqa Magistrate. I have seen the record which shows that the statements have been recorded and that there is no pressure for arriving at the compromise between the parties.

In that view of the matter, I make the following order:-

ORDER

1. FIR No.161 dated 24.11.2014, registered under Sections 452,

323 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station
Nehianwala, District Bathinda is quashed by way of
compromise with all consequential proceedings arising
therefrom.

September 15, 2016

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No