

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-1567 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 8TH JULY, 2016

Rajesh Kumar Dhiman @ Raju

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanu Shree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned State counsel submits that six witnesses out of twenty five
have been examined.

In this view of the matter and since the trial has commenced, I am
not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed. However the trial Court is
directed to dispose of the matter, in accordance with law, within a period of six
months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8TH JULY, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE