

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1564-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 02.08.2016

Tejveer Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Kulbir Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.7 dated 10.03.2014, registered under Sections 420 and 498A of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. NRI, District Moga.

Heard.

On 29.02.2016, the following order was passed:-

“The petitioner seeks the concession of pre-arrest bail in a case registered at the instance of his wife Sukhdeep Kaur alleging that the petitioner and his family members have maltreated the complainant for want of dowry and after her delivery, she was left in Canada with the child. The petitioner again returned to Canada after getting P.R. but he did not meet the complainant.

On the last date of hearing, counsel for the petitioner was required to intimate the date when the petitioner is expected to return to India. It has been informed that the petitioner has returned to India on

22.2.2016.

Counsel for the complainant has intervened to oppose the petition for pre-arrest bail contending that he has been authorised by Power of Attorney of the complainant's uncle Jagdev Singh to oppose the petition.

Adjourned to 7.4.2016.

Meanwhile, an interim direction is issued that the petitioner will join the investigation on 5.3.2016 and 19.3.2016. In case of his arrest, he will be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. The investigating officer shall submit a report whether on the basis of the investigation conducted till date, the prosecution agency, opts to present challan against the petitioner. Status of the investigation be also intimated on the next date of hearing.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide orders dated 29.02.2016 and 25.07.2016, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

02.08.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No