

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.15555 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.08.2016

Naveen

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

Ajay Tewari, J.(Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.136 dated 07.05.2015 registered under Sections 302/34 IPC and 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Kosli District Rewari.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner is in custody since 13.08.2015 and no role has been attributed to him in the FIR. He further submits that the investigation of the respondent is complete.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sube Singh submits that 22 witnesses have been examined and only 7 witnesses are remained to be examined and it would be appropriate to put a time-cap on the trial and the prosecution will conclude its entire evidence by 30.09.2016 in case the petitioner does not obstruct the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that these are the official witnesses, who are to be examined.

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, in view of the undertaking given by the learned Deputy Advocate General, it is directed that in case the prosecution does not lead its

entire evidence on or before 30.09.2016 (subject to the accused not obstructing the same) the petitioner be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

August 10, 2016
sonia

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No