

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 15520 of 2016
Date of decision : August 12, 2016

Harman @ Mantu

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. DS Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG Haryana.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR
No.62, dated 21.3.2016, registered under Sections 27A/21/61/85 of the
NDPS Act, at Police Station Ellenabad, District Sirsa.

On 6.5.2016, the following contentions were noticed :-

“ Counsel submits that as per prosecution version
an alleged recovery of 04 grams of heroin was
effected on 21.03.2016 from co-accused Gurbinder
Singh and Bakshish Singh. Present petitioner is
sought to be implicated only on the basis of a
disclosure statement of co-accused and who had stated

that the contraband had been purchased from the present petitioner. Counsel submits that it is a case of false implication and the petitioner is not involved in any other case under the NDPS Act.”

Learned DAG Haryana, on instructions from ASI Ishwar Singh, has accepted these factual assertions as well as the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation but has opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Be that as it may, keeping in view the facts mentioned above, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Consequently, the order dated 6.5.2016 is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

August 12, 2016
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No