

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-1552-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 4.10.2016**

Dr. Puneet Vir Singh

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI.

Present: - Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Saini, Advocate, for the respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 157 dated 13.06.2015 under Sections 406/498-A/ 377 IPC, registered at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 22.12.2015 (Annexure P-1).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No. 1. on 16.11.2013. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. Out of the said wedlock, no child was born. The relationship between them became strain and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of bringing less dowry and harassment caused by the petitioners.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise deed dated 22.12.2015 (Annexure P-1).

In compliance of order dated 19.05.2016, report of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sangrur, has been received in this regard on 14.07.2016. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded.

Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements given by the petitioners.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 14.07.2016 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910*, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 157 dated 13.06.2015 under Sections 406/498-A/ 377 IPC, registered at Police Station Sangrur, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

4.10.2016
preeti

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned.	Yes
whether reportable	No