

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.1090 of 1989
Date of decision : 24.02.2016

Kaur Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Jarnail Singh and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: None.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. Notice of actual date of hearing was issued. As per report, respondent Nos.1 to 3 have been served. No body has put in appearance. It appears that they are not interested in pursuing the case.

The appellant-plaintiff had filed suit for declaration that they have become owner in possession of land measuring 7 kanals 11 marlas on account of adverse possession. It is settled law that adverse possession cannot be set up in affirmative and can be set up as per defence. Aforementioned findings of mine are supported by findings rendered by this Court in *Bhim Singh and ors. Vs. Zile Singh and ors., 2006(3) RCR (Civil)*

97.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, there is no substance in the aforementioned appeal, much less, no substantial question of law arises for determination by this Court.

Appeal is dismissed.

24.02.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**