

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(124)

CRM-M-15371-2016

Decided on: May 09 2016.

Arpit Mittal

.... Petitioner

Versus

U.T of Chandigarh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Manhar S. Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

On asking of the Court, learned counsel for the petitioner informs that in the light of nature of the vague allegations leveled against the petitioner, the prosecution agency has not opted to file challan against the petitioner.

In view of the said stage of the investigation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition, at this stage, with liberty to approach this Court again, in case the prosecution agency at any stage, indict the petitioner on the basis of material gathered during course of investigation.

Disposed of as withdrawn without prejudice to the right of the petitioner, at this stage.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

May 09, 2016

harsha