

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-14323-2015
Date of Decision:-21.11.2016

Tarsem Dhingra	Versus	..Petitioner
Mukesh Garg		...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr.Ravish Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Achin Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in the main case, the petitioner has been convicted by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Bhatinda, vide judgement dated 15.10.2016, therefore, present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforeaid fact.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent, present petition no more survives and the same is hereby dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

21.11.2016
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*

Whether reportable? *Yes/No*