

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-15244 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION: 05.05.2016

Harmandeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Rai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner made a representation on 27.7.2015 to Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot but no action has been taken thereupon so far. He further contends that the petitioner would be satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take action on the representation made by him.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 27.7.2015 and take necessary action thereupon in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

Petition stand disposed of accordingly.

May 05, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE