

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 22.04.2016

CWP No.4868 of 1997

Jit Singh Sohal

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Mohan Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Kashyap, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

1. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
2. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Adjourned sine die to await revival or rehabilitation of respondent
No.3.

22.04.2016

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

At this stage, Mr. G.S.Bal, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Mohan Singla, Advocate, appears and submits that since the Punjab Recorders Limited – respondent No.3 is in the financial doldrums and facing winding up proceedings, the better course would be to dispose of the present petition instead of adjourning it sine die with liberty to the petitioner that in case subsequent events justify, he may be allowed to revive the petition.

The prayer is accepted.

The petition is dismissed with the aforesaid liberty.

22.04.2016
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**