

CRM-M-15212-2016

Date of Decision : 23.11.2016

Kajal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI**Present:** Ms. Deipa Asdhir Dubey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Riar, AAG, Punjab.

RITU BAHRI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect her life and liberty as she is facing threat at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8. Petitioner, Kajal is 19 years old and respondent No. 4 from 6-7 years knows the petitioner as a friend and promised her to marry. On the pretext of marriage, he had developed physical relations with her. The petitioner conceived and thereafter, an abortion was conducted without her consent. Parents of respondent No. 4 assured that they will marry respondent No. 4 with the petitioner and now she is being threatened. Complaint, in this regard, has been made on 08.07.2015 (Annexure P-1) and 04.04.2016 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No. 2.

On notice, reply has been filed by the Assistant Commissioner of Police. Admitting that petitioner had given applications to the police officers against respondents No. 4 to 8. These applications were inquired by Station House Officer, Police Station Amritsar City where her parents gave a copy of compromise

effected by her with the private respondents. As per the compromise, she initially intended to marry Avinash but subsequently, she had cancelled the decision and no police action is to be taken on her application. Further inquiry was conducted by Smt. Harkamal Kaur, PPS, the then Assistant Commissioner of Police, Headquarter, Amritsar and statement of petitioner was again recorded. She corroborated the earlier statement that the compromise was effected as she did not want to take any action and her application was re-consigned. The petitioner has now again made an application No. 1534-PC-COP dated 14.05.2016 which was enquired by the Station House Officer, Police Station, Islamabad, Amritsar. Statements of the petitioner and the private respondents have been recorded and it is found that petitioner wanted to extort more money from the respondents. The petitioner in her statement has levelled the specific allegations against Sonu, uncle of respondent No. 4 whereas, during the course of enquiry, it has been revealed that Kalu, cousin of the petitioner stands convicted for 10 years imprisonment by the Court of Smt. Harpreet Kaur Randhawa, Ld. ASJ, Amritsar in a case FIR No. 39 dated 20.06.2015 under Sections 376, 363, 366-A, 120-B IPC, Police Station Islamabad, Amritsar City which was registered at the instance of Sonu, uncle of respondent No. 4 against Kalu for kidnapping his minor daughter Mansi. The petitioner was making an attempt to pressurize the private respondents for compromise in the above said case. The inquiry report is at Annexure R-6/T. The final application dated 14.05.2016 (Annexure P-2) has also been

enquired into where she has levelled the specific allegations against the non-respondent, Sonu, uncle of respondent No. 4.

In view of the detailed reply filed by the respondents, no further order is required to be passed.

Disposed of.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

23.11.2016
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No