

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15184 of 2016
DECIDED ON: MAY 04, 2016**

SATNAM SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Laghuinder Singh Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. by Satnam Singh seeking directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect his life and liberty as well his family members at the hands of respondent No.4 to 7.

2. At the very outset, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that a representation dated April 29, 2016 (Annexure P-4) was moved to respondents No.2 and 3 but till date no action has been taken. He feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to dispose of representation (Annexure P-4) within a stipulated period.

3. Notice to official respondents only, at this stage.

4. At the asking of Court, Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

5. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar Mohali, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation (Annexure P-4) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

MAY 04, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE