

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-1516 of 2016

Date of Decision: January 15, 2016

Baby and another

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners are in person with their counsel
Mr. Rishu Mahajan.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that it is the second marriage of both the petitioners. Their spouses had died. The death certificates of their spouses are placed on file as Annexues P-5 and P-6. It is stated that private respondent Nos.4 and 5 are the children of petitioner No.1 and opposing the marriage. The photographs of the marriage are placed on file as Annexure P-4. The marriage certificate is placed on file as Annexure P-3.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 12.01.2016 (Annexure-P-7) before the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 12.01.2016 (Annexure-P-7) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

Disposed of accordingly.

January 15, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE