

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15158 of 2016.

Decided on:-05.05.2016.

Gurjeet Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. B.P.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 to 4 to hand over the investigation of case FIR No.16 dated 21.2.2014 under Sections 323/324/341/506/148/149/379 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Kotwali Nabha, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that despite the fact that the FIR in question was registered on 21.2.2014, the investigating agency has not completed the investigation so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that earlier

also, the petitioner has approached this Court by way of **CRM-M No.6995 of 2016** titled as **Gurjeet Singh and another Versus State of Punjab and others** which was decided by this Court on 26.2.2016 and the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners states that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition to enable the petitioners to move an appropriate representation before the authorities concerned for conducting the investigation expeditiously.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 26.2.2016, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 29.2.2016 (Annexure P-8) to the Inspector General of Police, Patiala i.e. respondent No.2, but no action thereon has been taken so far.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present

petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into the contents of representation (Annexure P-8) and to act in accordance with law.

May 05, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE