

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15129-2016

Date of decision: July 11, 2016.

Dimple Kumar

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Amardeep Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard Learned Counsel for the rival parties. The applicant is in jail since 5.5.2015. Learned Counsel for the State submits that to his credit, there are additional seven cases against the present applicant. It is true that pendency of other cases against the applicant is an adverse factor. However looking to the nature of injuries in this case, I think the applicant should be released on bail even though he is habitual offender committing offences against human body. But then, he cannot be allowed to enter the limits of Jalandhar district so that the prosecution witnesses are not threatened or otherwise induced. Learned Counsel for the petitioner, upon instructions, makes a statement that the petitioner would not enter the limits of Jalandhar

except in the Court of Sessions only on the dates fixed.

In this view of the matter, I make the following order:-

ORDER

- (i) the petition bearing CRM-M-15129-2016 is allowed;
- (ii) the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar in FIR No.39 dated 18.3.2015, under Sections 307, 324, 326, 341, 427, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Maqsudan, District Jalandhar;
- (iii) the petitioner shall not enter District Jalandhar save and except for the dates fixed before the trial court;
- (iv) in the event of petitioner absenting during trial, this order shall be recalled and he shall be sent to jail;
- (v) the petitioner will not tamper with the prosecution evidence and liberty is given in favour of the police for cancellation of bail in such an eventuality.

July 11, 2016.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge