

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 15092 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02. 08.2016

Narinder Pal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.
assisted by HC Lakhwinder Singh.

Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.172, dated 31.12.2015, registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Balachaur, District SBS Nagar.

It is contended that a compromise has been reached between the parties. In terms of the compromise, the petitioner has got the sale deed of 6 marlas 7 sarsai of land registered in favour of the complainant to adjust his amount of earnest money. The petitioner is not the beneficiary. The petitioner has a limited role of

introducing the complainant to Lakhwant Singh and Malkiat Singh. In pursuance of order dated 03.05.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that though the petitioner has joined investigation but he is the facilitator of the crime who allegedly introduced co-accused Pritpal Singh and Kulwinder Singh as owners of the land whereas, the land is owned by somebody else.

The learned counsel for the complainant submits that petitioner in connivance with each other have cheated the complainant and impersonated Pritpal Singh and Kulwinder Singh as owners of the land.

Heard.

As per contents of the FIR, the petitioner in conspiracy with co-accused, Pritpal Singh, Kulwinder Singh and Surinder Kumar cheated and duped the huge amount from the complainant by impersonating Pritpal Singh and Kulwinder Singh to be the owner of the land. The petitioner is the attesting witness to the alleged transactions. Keeping in view the key role of the petitioner, no case for bail is made out. Dismissed.

02.08.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No