IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 15086 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.08.2016

Hari Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Arun Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.
assisted by ASI Pawan Kumar.

Mr. APS Deol, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

****

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.49, dated 23.03.2016, registered under Sections 148, 307, 323, 324, 325 read with Section 149 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Rozka Meo, District Mewat.

It is contended that the in pursuance of order dated 18.05.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation. Even as per the complainant's version, no injury on the person of Dharampal is attributed to the petitioners.

Sumit Kumar
2016.09.02 17:31
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on instructions submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, there are serious allegations against the petitioners in the FIR.

Heard.

As per FIR, petitioner No.1 Hari Singh gave phasra blow on the head of the complainant and petitioner No.2 Ravinder fired shot upon the complainant. Keeping in the view the accusations against the petitioners, no case for bail is made out at this stage.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.08.2016 **(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**
sumit.k **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No

Sumit Kumar
2016.09.02 17:31
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document