

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : May 27, 2016

1. CRM No. M-15028 of 2016

Tarlochan Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents...

2. CRM No.M-15033 of 2016

Balram Singh @ Ram and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-15028-2016 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-15033-2016.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Chander Kant Rana, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4 in CRM-M-15028-2016 and
for the petitioners in CRM-M-15033-2016.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of aforesaid two petitions i.e CRM No.
M-15028 of 2016, captioned as “*Tarlochan Singh and others vs. State of
Punjab and others*” and CRM No. M-15033 of 2016, captioned as

“Balram Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another”.

2. CRM No. M-15028 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.65, dated 26.09.2015, under Sections 324, 325, 323, 341, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, Police Station Hajipur, District Hoshiarpur and all the consequent proceedings thereon, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

3. CRM No. M-15033 of 2016, has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of cross case registered vide Rapat No.30, dated 29.09.2015, under Sections 324, 506, 148, 149 IPC in FIR No. 65, dated 26.09.2015 (Annexure P-2) under Sections 324, 325, 323, 341, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, Police Station Hajipur, District Hoshiarpur and all the subsequent proceedings thereon, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

4. Reports of learned trial Court have been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that the matter has been compromised between the parties with the intervention of the respectables and the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence in respect of aforesaid FIR. All the grievances have been settled amicably between the parties without any coercion or undue influence. Even otherwise, matter involved is personal in nature, which stood amicably settled.

5. So, in view of above circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

6. Consequently, both petitions are allowed and FIR No.65, dated 26.09.2015, under Sections 324, 325, 323, 341, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, Police Station Hajipur, District Hoshiarpur, cross case registered vide Rapat No.30, dated 29.09.2015, under Sections 324, 506, 148, 149 IPC, Police Station Hajipur, District Hoshiarpur in FIR No.65, dated 26.09.2015, under Sections 324, 325, 323, 341, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, Police Station Hajipur, District Hoshiarpur, as well as all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner(s).

May 27, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE