

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No. 1269 of 1992
Date of Decision : 17.05.2016

Rajesh Chander

...Appellant

Versus

The Secretary to Government of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. Mani Ram Verma, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

P.B. Bajanthri, J.(Oral)

The appellant has questioned order of termination dated 18.04.1985 and subsequent order of termination dated 31.12.1985 before the trial Court. The petitioner-appellant filed a suit challenging orders of his termination dated 18.04.1985 and 31.12.1985 respectively, which was decreed in favour of the petitioner-appellant. The appellate Court reverse the order of the trial Court. Feeling aggrieved by the same, present appeal has been filed.

By virtue of impugned orders, the appellant was continued in service. During pendency of the appeal, it is stated that the appellant was once again dismissed from service on 03.02.2014.

In these circumstances, the present regular second appeal do not survive for consideration. Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

However, liberty is granted to appellant to revive this appeal, if he is not dismissed from service on 03.02.2014.

May 17, 2016.
kanchan

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE