

111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-14998 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 3.5.2016

Kanwarleen Kaur Pannu and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 29.4.2016. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-3. The true typed copy of Passport, issued by the Republic of India and the true typed copy of Voter ID Card, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2, have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively.

CRM No. M-14998 of 2016 (O/M)

-2-

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 29.4.2016 (Annexure-P-4) before Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar-respondent No. 2, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar-respondent No. 2, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 29.4.2016 (Annexure-P-4) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.5.2016
sjks