

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-14985 of 2016
DECIDED ON: MAY 04, 2016**

SATNAM SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Harjeet Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. by Satnam Singh seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take action against respondents No.4 to 9 and to investigate the case fairly and impartially and also to present the challan in the court expeditiously.

2. At the very outset, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that representations/applications (Annexures P-2 and 3) were moved to respondent No.2 but till date no action has been taken on the said applications. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to dispose of representations/applications (Annexures P-2 and 3) within a stipulated period.

3. Notice to official respondents only, at this stage.

4. At the asking of Court, Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

5. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representations/applications (Annexures P-2 and 3) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

MAY 04, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE