

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.14977 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision: 20.12.2016

Inderjit Singh

V/s

----Petitioner(s)

State of Punjab

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr.Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.23, dated 18.02.2016, for offence punishable under Sections 365, 302, 201 & 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Div No.5, District-Ludhiana.

This Court, vide order dated 03.05.2016, had granted interim bail to the petitioner, however, subject to his joining the investigation and to comply with the provisions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to the order dated 03.05.2016 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation.

On the other hand, learned counsel State counsel submits that though the petitioner has joined investigation, but the main accused,

namely, Malti Minhas and another lady, namely, Paramjit Kaur wife of Tarsem Singh have suffered a disclosure statement to the effect that they have withdrawn Rs.5 lacs from the account of deceased Raminder Singh Cheema, and the said amount was given to the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Though the petitioner is an accused for committing offence punishable under Section 302 IPC, but he has been named only on the basis of disclosure statement made by main accused i.e. Malti Minhas and Paramjit Kaur wife of Tarsem Singh. The only allegation against the petitioner is that main accused Malti Minhas and Paramjit Kaur have handed over Rs.5 lacs to the petitioner and the said amount was withdrawn by Malti Minhas from the account of deceased Raminder Singh Cheema.

Since the petitioner has joined investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 03.05.2016 passed by this Court, is made absolute.

However, petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

20.12.2016

Anjal

**[HARI PAL VERMA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes

Whether reportable?

Yes/No