

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**217**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-1489 of 2016  
Date of decision: 25<sup>th</sup> April, 2016**

Rama Kant @ Aman Verma

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

***CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY***

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.60 dated 13.03.2014 registered under Sections 363, 366 of the Indian Penal Code (Section 376 IPC and Sections 3/4 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 were added later on) at Police Station Sarabha Nagar, District Ludhiana City during pendency of the trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 11.04.2014 and nothing is to be recovered from him. The trial may take long time to conclude and no useful purpose would be served by keeping him behind the bars.

Learned State counsel submits that out of total sixteen prosecution witnesses, seven have already been examined, five have been given up and the date fixed before the trial Court is 17.05.2016 for recording of the statement of remaining prosecution witnesses.

Keeping in view the stage of the trial as it is on the fag end as well as the age of the victim, no ground is made out to grant regular bail to the petitioner. The present petition is hereby dismissed.

However, the trial Court is directed to make all possible efforts to conclude the trial expeditiously.

**April 25, 2016**

sonia gugnani

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE**