

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14889 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.09.2016

Naveen Bansal and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms.Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.70 dated 01.03.2012, for the offence under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 506 IPC registered at Police Station, Sector-5, Panchkula, District Panchkula along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise/joint statement dated 28.01.2016 (Annexure P-3).

It was alleged by the complainant that since the beginning of the marriage, the behaviour of the petitioners and their family members was rude towards her. They were not satisfied with the dowry articles brought by them at the time of marriage. Besides, they used to illtreat and taunt her for bringing inadequate dowry. They were maltreating, torturing and beating the complainant/respondent No.2 with a view to compel her to bring more dowry from her parents as they were not satisfied with the quality and quantity of the dowry and article given by her parents. On

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has been resolved between the petitioners and respondent No.2-Suman Bansal, vide compromise/joint statement dated 28.01.2016 (Annexure P-3).

In compliance with the order dated 02.08.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the CJM, Panchkula, has been received in this regard. As per report, Suman Bansal-complainant and accused-petitioners appeared before the trial Court on 16.08.2016 for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In this regard statements of complainant-Suman Bansal and accused-petitioners were recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter and Suman Bansal-complainant has no objection if the present FIR is quashed against these accused. Statements of accused-petitioners were also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the Court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.70 dated 01.03.2012, for the offence under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula, District Panchkula, is quashed along with all

consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

27.09.2016
anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>