

107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1485 of 2016
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 16, 2016

RAGHUNANDAN SHARMA & ANR

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Petitioner No.2 in person along with
Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. Notice to respondents No. 1 to 3, only at this stage.
3. At the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner No.1 could not appear in the Court today as he has been arrested by the police in FIR No.5, dated 11.01.2016, Police Station Division No.2 Pathankot, registered at the instance of mother of petitioner No.2. He further contends that both the petitioners are major

and have solemnized their marriage a day after the registration of FIR. Petitioner No.1 has already been arrested in the aforesaid criminal case and is behind the bars.

5. Be that as it may, petitioner No.2, who has solemnized the marriage against the wishes and consent of her parents, is feeling endanger or apprehension of her life and liberty as well that of petitioner No.1.

6. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, Punjab to look into the grievances of the petitioners unfolded by them in their representation dated January 12, 2016 (Annexure P-6) and if found necessary, take appropriate measures in accordance with law.

7. However, this order shall not be construed as a measure to treat the marriage of the petitioners valid or legal.

FEBRUARY 16, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE