

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Criminal Misc. No. M-13909 of 2014
Date of Decision:-12.01.2016**

Sandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Asstt. A.P. U.T. Chandigarh,
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Sandeep Suri, Advocate for respondent No.4.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in view of the reply submitted by respondents No. 1 to 3-State of U.T., Chandigarh, he does not press the instant petition and seeks liberty to avail appropriate remedy as admissible under law.

Dismissed as not pressed with liberty as aforesaid.

12.01.2016
Anjal

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**