

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14840-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: September 16, 2016.

Dr. Anil Batta

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Karan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard Learned Counsel for the rival parties. This Court had made an interim order on 12.5.2016 upon having been undertaken that the petitioner would deposit Rs.2.00 lacs with the electricity company. The petitioner having obtained that order, failed to deposit that amount and therefore this Court made an order on 16.8.2016 to make deposit of the amount with SDO, UHBVNL, Model Town Yamuna Nagar. Today, Learned Counsel for the petitioner states that the amount has been deposited, which statement has not been disputed by Learned Counsel for the State. She, however, upon instructions, stated that the petitioner has not handed over the documents relating to rent agreement. In my opinion that cannot be a ground to decline the order of grant of anticipatory bail. In that view of the matter, the petitioner having deposited half of the amount with the

electricity department, there is no need to revoke the interim order.
Consequently, order dated 12.5.2016 is made absolute.

Disposed of.

September 16, 2016.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

VINOD KUMAR KADYAN
2016.09.21 15:56
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh