

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M- 14763 of 2016
Date of Decision: May 02, 2016**

Narita and another Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners are in person with their counsel
Ms. Harpinder Kaur Sandhu.

Respondent No.4 in person along with
Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Advocate.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Respondent No.4 is present in person. On his request, he was allowed to talk to her daughter i.e. petitioner No.1 for 10 minutes in the court room. When the case was again taken up, respondent No.4 has stated that petitioner No.1 does not agree with his proposal of marriage ceremonies to be performed in the family.

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 27.04.2016. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-3. The true typed copy of aadhar card of petitioner No.1 and true typed copy of voter card of petitioner No.2, showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 27.04.2016 (Annexure-P-4) before the Senior

Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 27.04.2016 (Annexure-P-4) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

Disposed of accordingly.

May 02, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE