

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14733 of 2016 (O&M).
Decided on:-02.05.2016.

Jaswant Singh and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Balraj Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to ensure that the petitioners be not harassed and humiliated at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that FIR No.33 dated 7.4.2016 under Sections 307/452/427/506/148/149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Annexure P-1) as well as FIR No.34 dated 9.4.2016 under Sections 307/427/148/149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Annexure P-2) have been registered at Police Station Kulgari, District Ferozepur against Gurparvesh Singh alias Bhesha, who is

son of the petitioners, but there is no attribution against the petitioners. However, the petitioners are being called by the police time and again.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that the petitioners have also moved a representation dated 21.4.2016 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 5 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Admittedly, the aforesaid FIRs have been registered against son of the petitioners and, therefore, it cannot be said that the petitioners are being harassed by the police because they are the parents of the accused. However, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the contents of representation (Annexure P-3) and to act in accordance with law.

May 02, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE