

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Decided on: September 28, 2016

1. CRM-M-14719-2016

Gurpreet Singh and others

...Petitioners....

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents....

2. CRM No. M-14742 of 2016

Kuldeep Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM No. M-14719 of 2016 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM No. M-14742 of 2016.

Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3 in CRM-M-14719-2016 and
for the petitioners in CRM No. M-14742 of 2016.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By this common order, this Court intends to dispose of two
petitions i.e CRM No. M-14719 of 2016 filed by *Gurpreet and others* and CRM
No. M-14742 of 2016 filed by *Kuldeep Singh and others*.

2. CRM No. M-14719 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.79, dated 11.03.2016 under Sections 307, 452, 323, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station City Khanna, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

3. CRM-M-14742 of 2016 has been filed for quashing of cross version case No. 24, dated 11.03.2016, under Sections 323, 342, 379-B and 34 IPC (Annexure P-2) in FIR No.79, dated 11.03.2016, under Sections 307, 452, 323, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station City Khanna, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

4. Report of Id. Judicial Magistrate has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that compromise has been entered into voluntarily and without any pressure or coercion upon the parties. Even otherwise, the matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

5. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in case reported as “***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another,***” 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

6. Consequently, both the petitions are allowed, FIR No.79, dated 11.03.2016 under Sections 307, 452, 323, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station City Khanna, District Ludhiana and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom including cross-version case No. 24, dated

11.03.2016, under Sections 323, 342, 379-B and 34 IPC are quashed qua the petitioner(s).

September 28, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**

Whether reportable: **Yes/No**