

120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-14609 of 2016 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: MAY 16, 2016

PRITHVI RAJ BIJLANI

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Siddarth Luthra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Rohit Khanna, Advocate and
Ms. Tara Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J

CRM Nos. 15356 and 15393 of 2016

In view of averments made in the applications, the same are allowed.

Annexures A-1 to A-6 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Registry is directed to tag the same at its appropriate place.

CRM No. M-14609 of 2016

By virtue of this petition preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Prithvi

Raj Bijlani has sought the quashing of three supplementary reports bearing Nos.

0704262 of 2012 (Annexures P-13, 13-A, 13-B) under Section 173(8) Cr.P.C.

dated August 28, 2012 filed in FIR No. 280 of 2002 under Section 3 and 4 of the Prize Chits and Money Circulation Schemes (Banning) Act, 1978 and 420 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 26, Chandigarh as well summoning orders dated December 01, 2012 (Annexure P-14) and all subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom.

Before proceedings further, it would be appropriate to reproduce the impugned order dated December 01, 2012 (Annexure P-14), which reads as under:-

Present: Sh. J.P. Singh, APP for the State

Supplementary challan presented today. Report of Ahlmad perused. It be checked and registered. Now notice to the accused persons be issued through the investigation officer for 03.01.2013.

*Sd/-
CJM/
1.12.2012*

A close scrutiny of the file transpires that previously petitioner as well as his co-accused namely William Scott Pinckney preferred CRM No. M-6526 of 2013, which was disposed of by this Court vide order dated April 08, 2013. For ready reference, order dated April 08, 2013 is reproduced as under:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

*Present: Mr. R.S. Cheema, Sr. Advocate,
and Mr. Anil Kher, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Rohit Khanna, Advocate
for the petitioners.*

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to take up all the pleas before the trial Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

08.04.2013
aulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

A scrutiny of the petition as well as documents annexed herewith transpires that there is no change as what to talk of any substantial change after the withdrawal of afore-said petition with liberty to take up all the please before the trial Court, prior to the filing of instant petition.

Thus, instant petition is nothing but an abuse of process of law and as such the same is dismissed.

MAY 16, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE