

**266-2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.14608 of 2016

Date of decision: May 30, 2016

Ranjit Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. G.S. Sirphikhi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Vishal Sodhi, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a criminal complaint under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of Criminal Complaint No.54 of 23.03.2009, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B filed by respondent No.2 in the Court of learned JMIC, Batala, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Vide order dated May 02, 2016, parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court, for getting their statements recorded. In compliance thereof, report of trial Court has been received, wherein, it has been categorically observed that the matter has been compromised between the parties voluntarily and without any pressure, threat or coercion.

3. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in cases reported as ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab & Anr. 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Narinder Singh***

and Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr., (2014) 6 SCC 466, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant criminal complaint stands allowed and Criminal Complaint No.54 of 23.03.2009, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B filed by respondent No.2 in the Court of learned JMIC, Batala, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioners.

May 30, 2016
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE