

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.14543 of 2016

Date of Decision:-31.05.2016

Bedram Sharma @ Vedram Sharma.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
assisted by SI Anup Singh.

JASWANT SINGH, J (ORAL)

Prayer is under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail to accused-petitioner Bedram Sharma @ Vedram Sharma in case FIR No.369 dated 24.9.2014 for offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 Indian Penal Code and under Sections 3 and 4 of the SC/ST Act, registered with Police Station Sector 55, Faridabad.

As per allegations of complainant Arvind Kumar he has been cheated by the petitioner accused for a sum of Rs.1,99,500/- towards payment of sale consideration of shop no.42 measuring 22 square yards without himself being owner of the said shop. Castiest remarks are also alleged to have been made by the petitioner accused.

It is contended that from the statement of original owner

Kamrudin made before the police at P-1, it is apparent that he had sold off the entire area of 222 square yards comprising 10 shops no.41 to 50 to the petitioner accused. The petitioner accused admits receipt of Rs.90,000/- as earnest money towards sale of shop no.42 from the complainant, however, the complainant has fraudulently forged an agreement dated 20.12.2012 (P-4) allegedly executed by one Sita Ram Sharma on receipt of balance consideration of Rs.1,09,500/-, who is in no way connected with the ownership of the shop in dispute. Petitioner accused is further willing to execute the sale deed on receipt of balance sale consideration.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the interim order passed by this court petitioner has joined the investigation and cooperated in the same.

Learned State counsel assisted by SI Anup Singh submits that pursuant to the interim order passed by this court petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, interim protection/pre-arrest bail granted vide order dated 05th of May, 2016 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

May 31, 2016
Vinay