

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-13538 of 2015

Date of decision: February 03, 2016.

Lakhwinder Kaur and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Vikram Satpal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.92 dated 3.8.2014, registered under Sections 323, 341, 354, 354-B, 506, 509, 34 IPC, at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of judicial Magistrate received. Learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of Amandeep Kaur complainant as well as the accused. The complainant as well as the accused have stated that they have effected compromise. The copy of compromise Ex.C1 was produced. The offences are under Sections 323, 341, 354, 354-B, 506, 509, 34 IPC.

Since the parties have compromised, therefore, FIR No.92 dated 3.8.2014, registered under Sections 323, 341, 354, 354-B, 506, 509, 34 IPC, at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar, along with consequential proceedings stands quashed.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

February 03, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge