

503 CWP-1832-2001

BIRAM VS THE PRESIDING OFFICER,INDUSTRI

*** * * ***

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

*** * * ***

A detailed order reading thus, was passed on November 07, 2016:-

“Learned Counsel, who represented respondent No.2 stated that the firm M/s Purolator India Ltd., Gurgaon has since been closed and is not carrying on any business whatsoever. In the circumstances, no relief can possibly be granted to the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner seeks time to have instructions. Adjourned to 21.12.2016. Counsel further states that in case he fails to put in appearance on the next date of hearing, file be consigned to record in view of the stand of the learned counsel for respondent No.2”.

Neither the petitioner nor his counsel has put in appearance, today. In view of the stand of the learned counsel for the petitioner as noted in the order dated 07th November, 2016, this writ petition is ordered to be consigned to record.

**(G.C. GARG)
PRESIDENT**

**(N.K. KAPOOR)
MEMBER**

December 21, 2016

Jyoti-II