

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-14470 of 2016

Date of decision : 05.09.2016

Vikash and others

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Yesh Pal Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners
Mr. Sajay K. Saini, AAG Haryana
Mr. Bhupender Malik, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 32 dated 11.02.2014 filed under Sections 498-A, 406 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Ganaur, District Sonapat and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise.

The trial Court has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. The trial Court has also sent copy of statements of parties.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that petitioners are the accused and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/aggrieved persons in this case.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the said FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another***, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052,

approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others*** (2012) 10 SCC 303, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all the consequent proceedings arisen out of the same are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

September 05, 2016
reena

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No